



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	IAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/487,729	01/19/2000	Baik-hee Han	Q57577	3502	
75	7590 11/17/2003			EXAMINER	
Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC			NATNAEL, PAULOS M		
2100 Pennsylva Washington, Do			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2614		
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003	15	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s) °			
Advisory Action	09/487,729	HAN, BAIK-HEE			
7.4.7.6.0. <b>7</b> 7.6.6.0.	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paulos M. Natnael	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ision and the corresponding amount of the distance of the distance of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying th			
(d)  they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were newly			
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	;				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-5,7 and 8.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
$9. \boxtimes$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449)	<u>5,8</u> .			
10. Other:	P	MICHAEL H. LEE RIMARY EXAMINER			





(1) Examiner regrets the inadvertent use of 35 USC 102 (e) instead of 35 USC 102 (b), as Applicant correctly pointed out, since both references used to reject the claims have publication dates more than one year earlier than the filing data of the present applicantion.

(2) Applicant argues that the Examiner is taking the sentence on col. 4, lines 3-8 of Tsukagoshi out of context. However, Examiner submits the quoted passage is clear and unambiguous. Tsukagoshi discloses a channel selecting apparatus and method used in a television receiving apparatus and capable of memorizing channel data(see title). Further Tsukagoshi discloses "when a judging signal showing that a broadcast signal is included in a receiving channel is input from the judging circuit, that channel will be received. (Abstract)

Tsukagoshi discloses a tuner 2 controlled by channel selecting microcomputer 3 which includes a RAM 9 within. The microcomputer receives input from the input apparatus 8. Tsukagoshi clearly and unambiguously teaches that "In this case, the channel selecting microcomputer 3 will judge the respective receiving channels by the judging signal from the synchronizing circuit 7 as to whether they ar signal channels on no-signal channels and will have the RAM 9 memorize the data showing the channel numbers of the signal channels. (col. 4, lines 2-8) It is clear from the above the reference of Tsukagoshi teaches that the channel data received from the input apparatus is stored in RAM 9, while the microcomputer controls the tuner according to the designated channel by the user. (see col. 3, lines 64-66) Therefore, the Examiner submits that the quoted passage from the reference was is not merely a single useless sentence, but a clear teaching that the microcomputer determines or judges whether or not a broadcasting signal has been received. Therefore, the argumen that the Tsukagoshi fails to disclose or suggest "that when a controller receives a channel number output from the key input and controls a tuner to tune a broadcasting channel corresponding to the received channel number," is not persuasive.

Toyoshima et al. discloses a TV receiver for receiving a broadcast signal and station information. Fig. 2 of Toyoshima et al. discloses a procedure for channel setting and analysis. A control microcomputer 8 controls the operation of the receiver. The system includes a RF signal receiver 1, channel select 2, and IR signal receiving unit 7. Specifically, Toyoshima et al. teaches that "the channel selection is performed in accordance with the channel number which is shown by the counter, at the succeeding step SP3...the CPU 8 writes the information of the information signal SG along with the channel number to the memory 9..." (col. 3, line 53-64) Fig. 2 clearly illustrates that a start channel setting, selects channel and judges or determines if the channel is an active channel and if so writes the information in memory. Furthermore, Toyoshima discloses that the channel selection is performed in accordance with the channel number which is shown by the counter, at the succeeding step SP3. An AFT signal etc. of the received signal is monitored, and the presence of a signal S(in other words, whether it is an active channel or not) is judged at the step SP4. If an affirmative result is obtained at SP4 tile, CPU 8 proceeds to the succeeding step SP5 and receives the information signal SG from the information signal analyzing circuit 5. At step SP6, the CPU 8 writes the information of the information signal SG along with the channel number to the memory 9, and then proceeds to the succeeding step SP7. (col. 3, lines 47-64) The reference of Toyoshima et al. therefore teaches that the system controlled by the Micro Computer determines whether the broadcasting signal is present in the tuned broadcasting channel, (i.e., whether it is an active channel or not) and stores the channel number in memory.